

# State of the Science in Hospice and Palliative Care

## Review Criteria for Paper and Poster Submissions

Rating Score	Criterion
1	Outstanding work of highest quality, which is of importance and interest in the field and should be presented; likely to have a high impact on future research in its focus area and on clinical practice. Potential plenary oral presentation.
2	Potential oral presentation; Work of high quality that deserves discussion with presentation [consider thematic groupings of session topics to determine appropriate placement]; potential to change practice and/or influence future research
3	Work of high quality that could be orally discussed and grouped by topic with similar research to provide appropriate context for application to practice and research
4	Work of high quality that could be orally discussed with value to attendees; not practice changing; or could be presented in poster session only
5	Work of good quality with value to attendees; not practice changing
6	Work of good quality that may have value to attendees; not practice changing, may be of interest to niche audiences
7	Work of questionable quality that may not warrant publication and potentially should not be included in the program
8	Work that violates policy for prior publication/presentation should NOT be presented or published by AAHPM
9	Work that should NOT be presented or published due to poor quality or scientific, ethical, or administrative concerns

### Anticipated implications of the scores:

- Opening Plenary Score – 1
- Oral Presentation Score – 1 or 2
- RAPiD Poster Presentation Score – 1, 2 or 3
- General Poster Session Score – 2, 3 or below